

From: Thursday, December 13, 2018 11:25 PM
Sent: Leonard Sharon;Colette Chapman;dougducey@az.gov;markp@azpost.gov;Tammie.Holm@usdoj.gov;dale.griffiths@usdoj.gov;jean.michel.voltaire@usdoj.gov;ronald.vitiello@dhs.gov;gthompson@azcorrections.gov;cjosefow@azcorrections.gov;aseale@azcorrections.gov;krysten.sinema@mail.house.gov;ruben.gallego@mail.house.gov;jeff_flake@flake.senate.gov;Dan Levey; Andrew Tate n;
Cc: terri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;azpost@azpost.gov;karen.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov;cyrhaddad@yahoo.com;Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_dietrich@mcs.o.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov
Subject: Victim's Rights Lawsuit & Post Conviction Notification Requests Forms With Police Reports With Missing Defendants With No Charges For Home Invasion

Attached are the Post Conviction Notification Requests and copies of the police report that wasn't released to me after 4 years always stating ongoing investigation which led to the Foreclosure of my home that I owned for 6 years because of the damage and my home owners Insurance from insurance unable to get the police report. Which also left me without any assistance with counseling for post-traumatic stress disorder from one of the most traumatic crimes a resident of Arizona can go through. Then Phoenix Police Department Gang Enforcement Unit assisted in the Foreclosure rather than helping me. I need someone to look into the matters now that I have been declared the primary victim for the Home Invasion and I would like to press charges on all suspects listed in police report that weren't listed in CR# for the charges of Home invasion and criminal damage including the defendants that were convicted of Lesser Charge because I was left out of the Investigation.

If Phoenix Police, Maricopa County or Arizona Attorney General won't assist me in this case in charging everyone for Home Invasion then I am asking federal government agencies blind copied to take jurisdiction over this home invasion as an immediate family member of

for a Home Invasion at My residence at on
2013 should be handled immediately by the FBI or Department of Homeland Police Investigation Department.

Thank you for taking the time to address my email.

Best Regards,

.....

From:

Sent:

To:

Thursday, December 13, 2018 9:24 PM

ponce@azleg.gov;

Cc:

Mayor.Stanton@phoenix.gov;dougducey@az.gov;
krysten.sinema@mail.house.gov;ruben.gallego@mail.house.gov;jeff_flake@flakeseate.gov
terri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;
gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;karen.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicoledchavez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov;Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_dietrich@mcsomaricopa.gov;lisa.bera@phoenix.gov;nicoledchaidez@phoenix.gov;

Subject:

Fw: Your visit to Arizona Medical Association's Action Center

FYI.....

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "donotreply@votervoice.net" <donotreply@votervoice.net>

To:

Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018, 4:34:42 PM MST

Subject: Your visit to Arizona Medical Association's Action Center

You have received this email because you entered your contact information on Arizona Medical Association's Action Center.

To view more details and a history of your activities on this site, please click the link below:

<https://www.votervoice.net/AZMED/Activities>

Complaint Against

Show less

To

Bcc

Dec 13 at 3:47 PM

Attention American Hospital Association,

One night I started to have pain across my chest, shoulder and lower back plus had severe pain when walking or sitting or laying down, then getting up. I asked to be taken to Emergency at Scottsdale Abrazo Hospital by my father. No tests were performed, I was given Pain Meds and rushed out the door. Pain increased in two day and was given shot of morphine then sent home again. I was basically blown off & denied quality care by [redacted] Hospital on both visits in 3 days. I noticed comments on discharge papers 2nd night two days later saying his(Mine) pain was believed to be signs of drug seeking behavior. Two days later from 2nd visit I was admitted into [redacted] because they did basic blood test and white blood cells were threw the roof started me immediately on 3 different antibiotics even though they couldn't locate where the infection was and the next evening they got results of full torso MRI and immediately rushed me to [redacted] for immediate Spinal Surgery where they performed a T7, T8, T9, T10 & T11 Laminectomy. During the time after being sent home the 2nd time at [redacted] to My Surgery I lost mobility & strength to walk plus ability to urinate. Now 3 weeks after the surgery I am barely able to walk with use of a Walker & was told I will have pain in my back for the rest of my life. Multiple Doctors & Nurses have told me that if [redacted] would have admitted me & started me on antibiotics the 1st night I went in that the surgery might have avoided & would have never lossed the strength in my legs and would be walking completely normally. I have all the discharge paperwork from [redacted] Hospitals & admittance paperwork from [redacted] including transfer/discharge to [redacted] with detailed description of tests taken & procedures/treatments given to me. With recommended long-term treatment program.

Short term loss of physical ability to Walk without Assistance from Using Walker, [redacted]
Quality Of Life Will Be Adversely Affected By The Pain Derived From The Surgical Extraction
of Small Portions Of His T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 Vertebrae. Which All Could Have Been
Avoided With Immediate Antibiotic Treatment Upon His First Arrival At [redacted]

1st Night - Given Pain Meds and Sent home with no blood drawn or imaging.

2 Nights Later . Hospital Given Shot Of Pain Medication, No blood drawn or imaging & discharged signs of drug seeking behavior

2 Nights Later Admitted Into Could barely stand, did blood and white blood cells County was very high, was given pain Meds and antibiotics & started imaging for infection & source of pain

Next night rushed from . to . for immediate Spinal Surgery because of chance of permanent loss of use of legs or illness leading to death. Surgical Extraction of Small Portions By Laminectomies of T7, T8, T9, T10 and T11 Vertebrates and continued multi IV Antibiotics and pain medication. Doctors told if .

would done basic blood draw or even imaging infection in my spine would have been detected and immediate IV Antibiotic on the 1st would have either led to a one Laminectomy surgery or no surgery at all and I wouldn't have had the complications with the temporary paralysis and extensive nerve trauma from how large the infection had grown the 1st night to the 6th night of surgery.....

2 Years From Surgery Complications >From Nueropathy In My Legs & Injuries From Falls From Weakness in My Legs

Signed Letters from Neurosurgeon and Infectious Disease Doctor Supporting Claim. Current Internal Medicine Doctor at .

Please contact me at anytime for all medical documentation and Imaging On CD-ROMS....

Best Regards,

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 9:21 PM
To: [REDACTED]; sponce@azleg.gov

[REDACTED] 13
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Mayor.Stanton@phoenix.gov;dougducey@az.gov;
[REDACTED];m.pson@azcorrections.gov;cjosefow@azcorrections.gov;aseale@azcorrections.g
ov;krysten.sinema@mail.house.gov;ruben.gallego@mail.house.gov;jeff_flake@flake.senat
e.gov;Colette.Chapman@azag.gov

Cc: [REDACTED] 374

[REDACTED] 2
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] terri.dicino@phoe
nix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;gina.garcia@phoe
nix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;azpost@azpost.gov;k
aren.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nico
le.chaidez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@
phoenix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov;cyrhaddad@yahoo.com;Maryam_Coskun@tem
pe.gov;r_dietrich@mcs.o.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;nico.le.chaidez@phoenix.g
ov

Subject: Fw: Submission: Civil Rights Intake Questionnaire

FYI.....

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: CRD Phx Compliance Intake Calendar <crd_intake@azag.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018, 3:06:08 PM MST
Subject: Re: Submission: Civil Rights Intake Questionnaire

Thank you for getting back to me. I have filed with both the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division & The Office of The Inspector General regarding this same complaint.

Best Regards,

On Thursday, December 13, 2018, 8:49:52 AM MST, CRD Phx Compliance Intake Calendar <crd_intake@azag.gov> wrote:

Dear [redacted],

The Civil Rights Division of the Arizona Attorney General's office is empowered by the Arizona Civil Rights Act to investigate complaints regarding alleged unlawful discrimination in the areas of employment, housing, public accommodations and voting. In order for the Division to have jurisdiction over a complaint, it must contain an allegation that a person was subjected to discriminatory treatment because of the person's race, color, national origin, religion, sex (including pregnancy) or disability. Under the Arizona Fair Housing Act, a person's familial status is also a protected characteristic.

Based on the information that you have provided to the Division, it appears that this agency does not have jurisdiction to investigate your complaint. However, the US Department of Justice Civil Rights Division has the authority to investigate violations of civil rights by law enforcement officers. I have included their contact information below for your information.

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Main

Washington, D.C. 20530

Telephone Number for the General Public: (202) 514-4609

(TTY): (202) 514-0716

Sincerely,

Division of The Civil Rights

From: Webmaster
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 5:40 PM
To: CRD Phx Compliance Intake Calendar
Subject: Submission: Civil Rights Intake Questionnaire

From: Anthony William DeLaVina <
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 9:10 PM
To: sponce@azleg.gov; k
n;Mayor.Stanton@phoenix.gov;dougducey@az.gov;
om;luis.heredia@mail.house.gov;Zak.Royse@mail.house.gov;brandon_hiller@flake.senate.gov;john_mccain@mccain.senate.gov;rlsulli2
@asu.edu;jtheodor@asu.edu;rhuettner@land.az.gov;jeff_flake@flake.senate.gov;ruben.g
allego@mail.house.gov;krysten.sinema@mail.house.gov
Cc: topez30
b
nix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;karen.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;vince_b
oerbon@tempe.gov; Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_dietrich@m
cso.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov
Subject: Fw: Jeff Flake Please Announce To Run For John Kyl's Seat Against Martha McSally.....

Jeff Flake Please Announce To Run For John Kyl's Seat Against Martha McSally.....
By Anthony William De La Viña

Now With John Kyl Looking To Leave Office Immediately After Being Appointed & Martha McSally Losing To Krysten Sinema, Maybe It's Time Ask Senator Jeff Flake To Stay In Office.. I Call On Every Republican To Ask Jeff Flake To Stay In Office As It Was The Police Unions That Started Coming Out Against Causing Him Not To Run For Office Again.. I Think Jeff Flake & Krysten Sinema Would Well Balanced Representation Of Arizona Residents.....

https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=10218464328098693&id=1209120223

"I am betting a 2nd announcement but just a little different in the near future! Just my opinion.... A Republican that is not a fan of Trump." By Anthony William De La Viña

"U.S. Sen. Jeff Flake dampened speculation about his presidential ambitions on Sunday, saying he didn't think he would be the conservative candidate he wants to challenge President Donald Trump in 2020." Quote from Article

Flake also offered a thinly veiled rebuke to Republican Martha McSally's Senate campaign to Democrat Kyrsten Sinema, saying her narrow loss last week showed the GOP cannot win here with candidates "tied at the hip" to Trump.

<https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/politics/arizona/2018/11/18/jeff-flake-dampens-speculation-possible-2020-presidential-run/2047628002/>

https://m.facebook.com/story.php?story_fbid=2520945177946219&id=2357425164298222

Best Regards,

Anthony William De La Viña
De La Viña Bicentennial Family

Founder
Arizona Advocacy For Government Reform




From: Chairman Fred M. Whitaker <contact@ocgop.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 3:44 PM
To: <dougducey@az.gov>
Subject: Statement on Santa Ana City Council Redistricting

For Immediate Release:

[View this email in your browser](#)

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

DECEMBER 13, 2018
CONTACT: Randall Avila
PHONE: (714) 453-0900



Statement on Santa Ana City Council Redistricting

ORANGE COUNTY, CA – Hon. Fred M. Whitaker, Chairman of the Republican Party of Orange County, released the following statement in response to Santa Ana City Council redistricting:

“Democrats on the Santa Ana City Council recently voted to redraw their ward boundaries, in a move that will have longterm consequences for Santa Ana voters. Specifically, this newly drawn map is a power play that directly discriminates against the newly-elected Councilmember Cecilia Iglesias, the only Latina and Republican set to serve on the council.

The originally proposed Map #2 would have provided a ward and full four year term for every member of the council. Then right after the election, the Council in a travesty of justice proposed new Map #3. Under the new map, all other members of the council have been guaranteed their own ward, and a full four-year term. Councilmember Iglesias, on the other hand, will have to run again in 2020 in order to stay in her Ward and home she's lived in for 30 years. If she served out her full 4 year term, her last two years would be at large without a ward constituency, and she would have to move to run in a different Ward. This new map has been opposed by the mayor as well as a majority of Santa Ana residents. Yet the City Council voted it in on second reading this week anyway.

The reasoning for this new map is quite clear: the Democrat majority on the Santa Ana City Council is doing everything in their power to oust a duly elected Republican. The voters of Santa Ana spoke loud and clear on November 6th when Councilmember Iglesias won every single precinct in her Ward. The Republican Party of Orange County stands firmly behind Councilmember Cecilia Iglesias and strongly opposes the actions of the Democrats on the Santa Ana City Council.⁶

###



Paid for by the Republican Party of Orange County

Copyright © 2018 Republican Party of Orange County, All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:
1422 Edinger Ave. Suite 110 Tustin, CA 92780

[unsubscribe from this list](#) [update subscription preferences](#)

From: Joe Hughes
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 1:59 PM
To: Doug Ducey
Subject: Celebrating Five Years of American Airlines Milestones

Joseph Hughes
Director, State and Local Government
Government Affairs



December 13, 2018

Dear Governor Ducey,

On December 9, 2013 the merger between US Airways and American Airlines became official. As we celebrate the five year anniversary of forming the world's largest airline, I am proud to share that the new American remains deeply committed to the Valley of the Sun.

Since the merger, the role of our Phoenix presence has shifted from being American's official Headquarters to serving as the hub of critical airline functions. In 2014, we relocated several Customer Planning groups to Phoenix from Dallas/Fort Worth - Customer Relations, Central Baggage and the System Control Center - and consolidated our Accounting functions to Phoenix and Dallas/Fort Worth. Today, we continue to maintain a significant presence outside of the airport operations, including:

- Reservations call center in Tempe
- Customer Relations, Central Baggage and Accounting departments in Tempe
- IT Technical Center in Tempe

American has also made significant capital investments in airport and workspace facilities. With a total investment of over \$70 million, we've refreshed spaces throughout Phoenix that our team members and customers can enjoy. From improvements at our Rio West campus to accommodate new positions to new seating and charging tables at the airport, these investments have elevated the experience in Phoenix. In addition to investing in better workspaces for our team members, we've also given more than \$6 billion in raises to our more than 130,000 team members across the globe, with average pay increase of 46 percent for each.

To better serve Phoenix travelers, the hub's role in our global network has shifted from primarily serving as an east-west connector to one that is locally driven. In recent years, we have added 21 new routes, including service to many small and mid-sized markets such as Grand Rapids, MI, Madison, WI and Santa Fe, NM, among others. These routes provide direct access from the rest of the country to the Valley of the Sun, and generate additional connecting traffic at the airport from travelers throughout the Western and Midwestern United States. In addition to small and mid-sized markets, we recently announced new non-stop service to London-Heathrow (launching in March), making American the first domestic carrier with non-stop service to Europe from PHX.

As a result of the new American Airlines, Phoenix residents now have access to a significantly larger, global network than either US Airways or American offered, with service to five destinations in Brazil, Buenos Aires, Santiago de Chile, Beijing, Hong Kong, Seoul, Sydney, Tokyo, Auckland and many more. Today, American Airlines and American Eagle offer an average of nearly 6,700 flights per day to nearly 350 destinations in more than 50 countries. American is also a founding member of the oneworld® alliance, whose members serve more than 1,000 destinations with about 14,250 daily flights to over 150 countries, expanding travel options even further for Phoenix.

Sincerely,

[X]

Joseph Hughes
Director, State and Local Government - Government Affairs

4000 E. Sky Harbor Blvd
PHX-RWD-GOV
Phoenix, AZ 85034

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 1:46 PM
To: [REDACTED];sponce@azleg.gov;
Cc: ;Mayor.Stanton@phoenix.gov;dougducey@az.gov;;
;krysten.sinema@mail.house.gov;ruben.gallego@mail.house.gov;jeri.l.williams@phoe
nix.gov
gthompson@azcorrections.gov;cjosefow@azcorrections.gov;aseale@azcorrections.gov;t
erri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;gi
na.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;karen
.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaid
ez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoen
ix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov;;Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov
;r_dietrich@mcso.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov
Subject: My Family Is Still Political

Attention Arizona Government,

Can we please settle on these punitive damages and restitution from The Framing Case involving
Wrongful Imprisonment in
& The Home Invasion Cover Up At My House on , 2013 at .

Best Regards,

From: Thursday, December 13, 2018 12:46 PM
Sent: dougducey@az.gov
To: The Second Chance Prison Program
Subject:

Dear Governor Ducey:

Season greeting from South Phoenix and The AZ Black United Fund Inc community based program. We wanted to take a minute to thank you for bringing The Second Chance Program to the state of AZ. Our program look forward to working with Tim and Brett and others to make the program success.

Carolyn T. Lowery Director

From: Brennan Center for Justice <brennancenter@nyu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 12:33 PM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Are Trump Emergency Powers Ripe For Abuse?



Things the President Could Do If He Declares a State of Emergency

Elizabeth Goitein

Many who see Trump as a threat to democracy hope laws and constitutional limits will hold him in check. But there are over a 100 emergency powers available to the president, a Brennan Center analysis reveals — and many are ripe for abuse.



Bipartisan Criminal Justice Reform Bill to Get Senate Vote

The Senate **will vote** on a sweeping criminal justice reform bill before the end of the year, Majority Leader Mitch McConnell announced this week. The bill, known as the FIRST STEP Act, would improve prison conditions and shorten some unnecessarily long sentences, moving us closer to ending mass incarceration.



Double Your Impact: Support the Brennan Center Before Dec. 31

It takes our collective strength to defend and restore our democracy — and that's what you can count on us to do. Your gift to the Brennan Center will go twice as far thanks to a generous donor who will match up to \$100,000. In the new year we'll keep the momentum going. Advancing automatic voter registration so more people can participate in the political process. Pushing small donor public financing proposals to reduce the influence of super PACs and dark money in our elections. Securing our electoral systems from foreign interference.

Please **make your gift** today – and make twice the difference for our democracy!

PROTECT THE VOTE

North Carolina's Election Fiasco Is About Voter Suppression, Not Fraud

Kevin Morris, Myrna Perez

DEFEND DEMOCRACY

Power Grabs in Wisconsin and Michigan Undermine Democracy

Tim Lau


Introducing



Spencer Boyer Joins Brennan Center as Washington Director

The Brennan Center is proud to announce that Spencer Boyer is joining us as the director of our Washington office. In that capacity, he will lead the Brennan Center's advocacy in Washington and build partnerships with allies and thought leaders to advance substantive policy change on a national level.

The Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law is a nonpartisan law and policy institute that works to reform, revitalize — and when necessary defend — our country's systems of democracy and justice.



Our mailing address is:

Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law

120 Broadway, Suite 1750 | New York, NY 10271 | 646.292.8310 phone | 212.463.7308 fax |
brennancenter@nyu.edu

Want to change how you receive these emails?

You can [update your preferences](#)

[Forward to a friend](#)



From: dougducey@az.gov
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 12:12 PM
To: dougducey@az.gov
Subject: Accepted: CONFIRMED: (SPEAKING OP - SOTS) East Valley 2019 State of... @ Wed Jan 16, 2019 8am - 8:45am (MST) (dougducey@az.gov)

From: Raul Riquelme Cacho <messages-noreply@linkedin.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 11:36 AM
To: <dougducey@az.gov>
Subject: Raul te ha enviado una invitación en LinkedIn



A Raul Riquelme Cacho le gustaría añadirte a su red profesional en LinkedIn



Raul Riquelme Cacho

Coordinador de Cabildeo en COPARMEX
México · 5462 contactos

Acepta la invitación de Raul |

LinkedIn es una red social y una plataforma en línea para profesionales. [Más información](#)

[Darse de baja](#) | [Ayuda](#)

Recibes mensajes de correo electrónico sobre Invitación . LinkedIn utilizará tu dirección de correo electrónico para enviar sugerencias a nuestros miembros en funcionalidades como Gente que podrías conocer.

Este mensaje se ha enviado a dougducey@az.gov.



© 2018 LinkedIn Ireland Unlimited Company, Wilton Plaza, Wilton Place, Dublín 2.
LinkedIn es un nombre comercial registrado de LinkedIn Ireland Unlimited Company.
LinkedIn y el logotipo de LinkedIn son marcas registradas de LinkedIn.



From: Google Calendar <calendar-notification@google.com> on behalf of dougducey@az.gov
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 10:54 AM
To: pptak@az.gov
Subject: dougducey@az.gov has shared a calendar with you

Hello pptak@az.gov,

We are writing to let you know that dougducey@az.gov has given you access to view events on the Google Calendar called "dougducey@az.gov".

We have automatically added this calendar to your Google Calendar account. You can hide or completely remove this calendar at any time.

- The Google Calendar Team

[View Your Calendar.](#)

From: CAW IV
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 10:14 AM
To: dducey@az.gov;lrpetersen@cdc.gov;cbb0@cdc.gov;mai9@cdc.gov;sed5@cdc.gov
Subject: Fwd: Were You Inadavenrly exposed to Anthrax...?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **CAW IV** >
Date: Thu, Dec 13, 2018 at 10:07 AM
Subject: Were You Inadavenrly exposed to Anthrax...?
To: <jgellerm@aemrc.arizona.edu>, <mgasho@aemrc.arizona.edu>, <sadhikari@aemrc.arizona.edu>, <mberkman@aemrc.arizona.edu>, <ldeLuca@aemrc.arizona.edu>, <rFrench@aemrc.arizona.edu>
Cc: CAW IV <theyorkherald@gmail.com>, <editor@afterdarkportal.net>

Is the patient cited in the attached report to El Rio Clinic a chronic carrier chronic Anthrax infection or other similar infectious process and did the person recently fall through the cracks in AZ DES system?

If the patient cited in the attached report has to visit your hospital or place of business do you have any special requirements before asking for services.

Thanks for your attention to this matter so additional problems can be avoided until AZ DES sorts things out.

CW

From: dougducey@az.gov
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 9:58 PM
To: dougducey@az.gov
Subject: Accepted: CONFIRMED: Mtg w/ Education Committee (Don Budinger, Drew... @ Wed Dec 12, 2018 2:30pm - 3:30pm (MST) (dougducey@az.gov)

From: dougducey@az.gov
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 6:58 PM
To: dougducey@az.gov
Subject: Accepted: CONFIRMED: (Doug/Angela) (SPEAKING OP) Randy Kohlhase Fun... @ Wed Dec 12, 2018 10:55am - 12:30pm (MST) (dougducey@az.gov)





—









.....

.....

.....

.....

—

.....

.....





.....

1

Journal of Management Education

17



From: Arch McGill
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 5:57 PM
Subject: The Debt Threat to the Economy - WSJ

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-debt-threat-to-the-economy-1544486040>

The Debt Threat to the Economy

As rates rise, paying back government borrowing will consume the credit needed to sustain growth.

Dec. 10, 2018 6:54 p.m. ET



The same driving forces have propelled every strong American economic recovery since World War II: a sustained rise in business investment and increases in new-home building. The resulting increases in the demand for credit have driven up interest rates. As the current recovery builds and extraordinarily low interest rates normalize, the economy will begin to feel for the first time the effects of the unparalleled borrowing of the past decade. Exploding debt-servicing costs and the new federal borrowing could crowd out private borrowing at levels never before experienced in any of the 10 previous postwar recoveries.

Nominal interest rates in the postwar period were highly affected by inflation, which rose at an annual rate of 3.8% from 1948-2008. Interest rates surged when inflation approached double-digit levels from 1977-82, and Treasury borrowing costs reached their highest postwar levels, averaging 10.6%. If that six-year period is set aside as an anomaly, the Treasury's average borrowing cost from 1948-2009 was 4.8%. For the entire 1948-2008 period, real Treasury borrowing costs—the nominal borrowing costs minus the inflation rate—were 1.2%.

This suggests that if the Fed could meet its 2% inflation target during this recovery, Treasury borrowing costs might stay close to the 3.2% range. But because interest on 10-year Treasurys is already above 3%, it may be optimistic to assume that the Fed could hold rates at this level during another five years of strong recovery even if inflation stays around 2%.

If the economy continues to grow at the normal postwar rate, growth-driven federal revenues will overwhelm the costs of the tax cut, paying for virtually all of its originally projected 10-year revenue losses in just five years. But if Treasury borrowing cost normalizes to 3.2% over the next five years, the cost of servicing the federal debt will more than double, from \$316 billion this year to \$666 billion in 2023.

If borrowing costs rose to 4.8% over the next five years, federal debt-servicing costs would more than triple, reaching \$1.1 trillion in 2023. In that scenario, the cost of servicing the \$7.5 trillion increase in the public debt incurred during the 2009-16 period alone would cost \$362 billion—more than the current cost of servicing the entire federal debt.

Even with the strong revenue gains that would come from sustained 3.5% growth, a 4.8% average borrowing cost by 2023 would force the Treasury to borrow \$1.3 trillion, 5.2% of gross domestic product. If the Fed could hold inflation rates in the 2% range and Treasury borrowing costs only grew to 3.2%, total federal borrowing by 2023 would still be \$985 billion, 3.7% of GDP.

Federal borrowing levels between 3.7% and 5.2% of GDP annually would be quite similar to the Obama-era average of 4.6%. But 40% of that era's unprecedented new borrowing was offset by the Fed's purchases of Treasury debt and mortgage-backed securities. Also, in the failed recovery, the economy had little pulse and never felt the fever of high interest rates that would have come from such massive federal borrowing if private demand for loanable funds had matched the levels experienced in other postwar recoveries.

In postwar America before 2009, gross private domestic investment averaged 17.5% of GDP while federal borrowing absorbed only 1.6% of GDP (including the high-deficit Reagan presidency, when federal borrowing averaged 1.8% of GDP). By comparison, Congressional Budget Office projections of federal outlays plus normalized rates would produce Treasury borrowing by 2023 that could pre-empt 3.5 times the amount of credit as a percentage of GDP that federal borrowing pre-empted on average during other strong postwar recoveries.

With an already bloated balance sheet and banks holding huge levels of excess reserves, the Fed would risk spiking inflation if it tried to hold interest rates below the level dictated by public and private demand for capital. But even in a divided government, where compromise and legislative success will be difficult, the Trump administration can act unilaterally to soften the headwinds of rising interest rates that will threaten the recovery.

Every dollar the federal government doesn't spend is a dollar it doesn't have to borrow. The caps on discretionary spending should not be lifted in 2019, and any new spending program should require a real spending offset. An acceleration of the administration's deregulatory effort, especially in the financial sector, would enhance efficiency, expand growth and reduce federal borrowing.

Strong economic growth and rising interest rates will attract foreign capital, which can help prevent a surge in interest rates that could derail the recovery, but foreign capital will come at a cost of higher trade deficits. President Trump is obligated to make China live up to its World Trade Organization commitments and end the piracy of American technology. But fighting a trade war and maintaining a strong recovery may be mutually exclusive objectives. To ensure the U.S. has sufficient capital resources to sustain the recovery, the president needs to cut his deal with China. It's time to make peace on trade and wage war on the deficit.

Mr. Gramm, a former chairman of the Senate Banking Committee, is a visiting scholar at the American Enterprise Institute. Mr. Solon is a partner at US Policy Metrics. This article is adapted from a paper with Thomas R. Saving to be published by the Cato Institute next year.

Arch McGill

From: Arch McGill
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 5:45 PM
Subject: The Crisis of Good Intentions - WSJ

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/the-crisis-of-good-intentions-1544486212>

The Crisis of Good Intentions

From Paris to Palo Alto, ‘clean and green’ policies punish the poor.

William McGurn Dec. 10, 2018 6:56 p.m. ET



Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, the 29-year-old who will soon be a congresswoman from New York, declares that our “no-holds-barred Wild West hypercapitalism” is on the way out. French economist Thomas Piketty, by contrast, frets about a future where we are all governed by a ruling class drawn from billionaires, what he calls “patrimonial capitalism.” Meanwhile the archbishop of Canterbury hails the gig economy as “the reincarnation of an ancient evil.”

Let us stipulate it’s foolish to pretend the market is without its costs. A 57-year-old General Motors worker in Ohio who will be laid off as his company expands production in Mexico may understandably balk at the argument that, in the larger scheme of things, it’s all for the best.

Yet the recent protests across France ought to remind us that market decisions aren’t the only ones that can make life difficult for those trying to get by on their paychecks. For in these protests are we not seeing French citizens who have lost faith in the ability of their government to fulfill its most basic tasks, along with a growing resentment of the high price inflicted on ordinary French men and women by the good intentions of their elites?

The “Yellow Vest” protests across France were triggered by an increase in the gasoline tax. But even before this planned increase, the French were already the most taxed people in the European Union, one reason they pay more than double the American average at the pump. A gallon of gas in France costs drivers roughly \$6, nearly two-thirds of which is tax.

Americans spend about \$2,000 a year each on gas, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. If the U.S. price were at French levels, that would rise to at least \$4,000 a year—a considerable hit for most families. To make matters worse, the French taxes have increasingly diminishing returns because France accounts for such a small fraction of global emissions.

Nor are the French the only ones with doubts about the judgment of their elites. Whatever the merits of Brexit, at its core it reflects the British people’s distrust of the proposition that a supranational government in Brussels knows best. Given how their own government has botched things, it’s hard to conceive of any ending for Brexit that doesn’t promise even less British confidence in their leaders.

The U.S. has its own versions. Until recently Exhibit A was the war America lost—the “war on poverty.” More than 50 years and trillions of dollars after Lyndon B. Johnson launched it with the best of intentions, all we have to show for it is the devastation of the black family and the dysfunctions of our inner cities.

Today, however, the crisis of good intentions is manifested most dramatically in the green movement, particularly in California. In a recent article for the Orange County Register, Chapman University’s Joel Kotkin and Marshall Toplansky write that “California is creating a feudalized society characterized by the ultra-rich, a diminishing middle class and a large, rising segment of the population that is in or near poverty.” California now has the highest overall poverty rate in the nation, they write, and suffers from a level of inequality “closer to that of Central American banana republics.”

Much of this is the fault of California’s green agenda, which chokes off economic growth and has been imposed more as a theological imperative than the result of any sober, cost-benefit analysis. As Mr. Kotkin frequently points out, the upward mobility of any family that isn’t part of

Hollywood or Silicon Valley or doesn't already own their own home is being killed by the state's climate regime.

Though California hasn't reached French levels of rebellion, its own protests are taking interesting forms. Earlier this year a coalition of more than 200 prominent civil-rights leaders filed suit against the California Air Resources Board. They argue California's greenhouse gas policies are disproportionately raising housing, transportation and electricity costs for Latinos and African-Americans. The suit contests California's claims that it has a "clean and green" economy is a "fiction."

The climate regime, the suit notes, is imperious. Though Los Angeles and the Bay Area already rank among the worst in the nation for traffic congestion, the suit contends California's climate leaders "have decided to intentionally increase traffic congestion" in the hope of getting more people to opt for public transport. In other words, California's greens are willing to inconvenience the poor to get them to do what their feudal lords want them to do.

So maybe what's going on in France isn't as foreign as it may seem. When a once-thriving manufacturing town loses jobs to China, we hear all about the crisis of capitalism. But when progressives squeeze the American worker with high taxes, green agendas and failed government programs, where are the headlines about the crisis of good intentions?

Write to mcgurn@wsj.com.

Arch McGill

COLVILAND

From: Myriam Eythrib (. . .) Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 1:40 PM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Take guns away from domestic abusers

Dear Gov. Doug Ducey,

A few states have passed laws to require domestic abusers to surrender their guns. I would like our state to be the next. People who have proved dangerous to intimate partners and children have lost the right to own a weapon like this. Please work to pass this kind of legislation in our state.

Sincerely,

Ms. Myriam Eythrib

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider only, on behalf of the individual noted in the sender information.


From: Kelly Nolan (knolan@thatsgreatnews.com) <system@sent-via.netsuite.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 9:58 AM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Doug, check out the plaque offer



Guv unveils new center for driverless technology, vehicles
Published 10/20/2018

That's Great News is not affiliated with
SanTan Sun News


Free shipping on your press plaque Guv unveils new center for driverless technology, vehicles



Dear Doug,

I wanted to send you a special offer to celebrate your recent press article with a plaque!

Order your plaque and we'll give you **free shipping**, just use **code TGN** at the checkout. Start by taking a look at the [choice of plaque designs](#).




Use **free shipping code TGN** at the checkout. Alternatively [reply to this email](#) to request we ship the plaque with an invoice or call on 1-888-715-4900 quoting ID 6731142 Office of the Arizona Governor Doug Ducey.

Celebrating your great news,

Kelly Nolan
Marketing
That's Great News

PS [All plaques](#) come with a 30 day money back guarantee.



That's Great News is not affiliated with SanTan Sun News. All orders shipped to Canada must be paid with credit card. Currency must be in US dollars.



You are subscribed as <dducey@az.gov>. Click [here](#) to manage your email subscription preferences. Click [here](#) or reply to this email with 'unsubscribe' in the subject to unsubscribe from this list or if you feel you have received this message in error. This message was sent from That's Great News 900 Northrop Rd. P.O. Box 5021 Wallingford CT 06492 United States. Click [here](#) to report email abuse.

From: Arch McGill
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 9:08 AM
Subject: How Democracy Is Losing the World - Rasmussen Reports®

http://www.rasmussenreports.com/public_content/political_commentary/commentary_by_pat_buchanan/how_democracy_is_losing_the_world

How Democracy Is Losing the World

A Commentary By Patrick J. Buchanan

Rasmussen Reports

Tuesday, December 11, 2018

If Donald Trump told Michael Cohen to pay hush money to Stormy Daniels about a one-night stand a decade ago, that, says Jerome Nadler, incoming chair of House Judiciary, would be an "impeachable offense."

This tells you what social media, cable TV and the great herd of talking heads will be consumed with for the next two years -- the peccadillos and misdeeds of Trump, almost all of which occurred before being chosen as president of the United States.

"Everywhere President Trump looks," writes The Washington Times' Rowan Scarborough, "there are Democrats targeting him from New York to Washington to Maryland... lawmakers, state attorneys general, opposition researchers, bureaucrats and activist defense lawyers."

"They are aiming at Russia collusion, the Trump Organization, the Trump Foundation, a Trump hotel, Trump tax returns, Trump campaign finances and supposed money laundering."

The full-court press is on. Day and night we will be hearing debate on the great question: Will the elites that loathe him succeed in bringing Trump down, driving him from office, and prosecuting and putting him in jail?

Says Adam Schiff, the incoming chair of the House intelligence committee: "Donald Trump may be the first president in quite some time to face the real prospect of jail time."

And what will a watching world be thinking when it sees the once-great republic preoccupied with breaking yet another president?

Will that world think: Why can't we be more like America?

Does the world still envy us our free press, which it sees tirelessly digging up dirt on political figures and flaying them with abandon?

Among the reasons democracy is in discredit and retreat worldwide is that its exemplar and champion, the USA, is beginning to resemble France's Third Republic in its last days before World War II.

Also, democracy no longer has the field largely to itself as to how to create a prosperous and powerful nation-state.

This century, China has shown aspiring rulers how a single-party regime can create a world power, and how democracy is not a necessary precondition for extraordinary economic progress.

Vladimir Putin, an autocratic nationalist, has shown how a ruined nation can be restored to a great power in the eyes of its people and the world, commanding a new deference and respect.

Democracy is a bus you get off when it reaches your stop, says Turkey's Recep Tayyip Erdogan. After the attempted coup in the summer of 2017, Erdogan purged his government and military of tens of thousands of enemies and jailed more journalists than any other nation.

Yet he is welcomed in the capitals of the world.

What does American democracy now offer the world as its foremost attribute, its claim to greatness?

"Our diversity is our strength!" proclaims this generation.

We have become a unique nation composed of peoples from every continent and country, every race, ethnicity, culture and creed on earth.

But is not diversity what Europe is openly fleeing from?

Is there any country of the Old Continent clamoring for more migrants from the Maghreb, sub-Sahara or Middle East?

Broadly, it seems more true to say that the world is turning away from transnationalism toward tribalism, and away from diversity and back to the ethno-nationalism whence the nations came.

The diversity our democracy has on offer is not selling.

Ethnic, racial and religious minorities, such as the Uighurs and Tibetans in China, the Rohingya in Myanmar, minority black tribes in sub-Saharan Africa and white farmers in South Africa, can testify that popular majority rule often means mandated restrictions or even an end to minority rights.

In the Middle East, free elections produced a Muslim Brotherhood president in Egypt, Hamas in Palestine, Hezbollah in Lebanon. After this, a disillusioned Bush 43 White House called off the democracy crusade.

The Archbishop of Canterbury, Justin Welby, relates how one minority is treated in much of the Muslim world:

"Christians face daily the threat of violence, murder, intimidation, prejudice and poverty..."

"In the last few years, they have been slaughtered by so-called Islamic State. ... Hundreds of thousands have been forced from their homes. Many have been killed, enslaved and persecuted or forcibly converted. Even those who remain ask the question, 'Why stay?'

"Christian communities that were the foundation of the universal Church now face the threat of imminent extinction."

And all the while this horror is going on, Ronald Reagan's treaty that banned all U.S. and Soviet nuclear missiles with a range between 310 and 3,400 miles faces collapse. And President Trump's initiative to bring about a nuclear-free North Korea appears in peril.

Yet, for the next two years, we will be preoccupied with whether paying hush money to Stormy Daniels justifies removing a president, and exactly when Michael Cohen stopped talking to the Russians about his boss building a Trump Tower in Moscow.

We are an unserious nation, engaged in trivial pursuits, in a deadly serious world.

Patrick J. Buchanan is the author of "Nixon's White House Wars: The Battles That Made and Broke a President and Divided America Forever." To find out more about Patrick Buchanan and read features by other Creators writers and cartoonists, visit the Creators website at www.creators.com.

COPYRIGHT 2018 CREATORS.COM

See Other [Political Commentaries](#).

See Other Commentaries by [Pat Buchanan](#).

Views expressed in this column are those of the author, not those of Rasmussen Reports. Comments about this content should be directed to the author or syndicate.

Rasmussen Reports is a media company specializing in the collection, publication and distribution of public opinion information.


We conduct public opinion polls on a variety of topics to inform our audience on events in the news and other topics of interest. To ensure editorial control and independence, we pay for the polls ourselves and generate revenue through the sale of subscriptions, sponsorships, and advertising. Nightly polling on politics, business and lifestyle topics provides the content to update the Rasmussen Reports web site many times each day. If it's in the news, it's in our polls. Additionally, the data drives a [daily update newsletter](#) and various media outlets across the country.

Some information, including the [Rasmussen Reports daily Presidential Tracking Poll](#) and [commentaries](#) are available for free to the general public. Subscriptions are [available for \\$4.95 a month or 34.95 a year](#) that provide subscribers with exclusive access to more than 20 stories per week on upcoming elections, consumer confidence, and issues that affect us all. For those who are really into the numbers, [Platinum Members](#) can review demographic crosstabs and a full history of our data.

To learn more about our methodology, [click here](#).

Arch McGill

From: MEVC Foundation <info@mevcfoundation.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 8:09 AM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Mobilizing to change the system



December 11, 2018

Why do the nations so furiously rage together, and why do the people imagine a vain thing? The kings of the earth rise up, and the rulers take counsel together against the Lord and his Anointed. Let us break their bonds asunder, and cast away their yokes from us. Psalm 2.

Good morning.

A number of us founded Making Every Vote Count nearly two years ago in order to figure out why the presidential selection system seems likely to give the nation's top job to the person who most Americans did not choose.

We have discovered that the system is much worse than we thought. Both major parties ignore more than 80% of Americans in pursuing electoral college victory. An elected president seeking a second term is highly motivated to seek pluralities in a few states in the next election, as opposed to serving the best interest of all Americans. The basic goals shared by virtually all Americans are given short shrift by a divided, hyper-partisan government. Americans register mounting disgust at the failures of Washington, and factionalism seeps into state and local government in unprecedented, insidious ways.

The problem is the system. Americans themselves are not the problem. Voters are not the problem. The system is broken.


It turns out that if only a few states awarded some or all of their electors to the winner of the national popular vote, then the entire system would be changed for the better. If only a few states passed a law allocating some electors to the national winner, then the candidates for president would have to seek everyone's votes. They would have to go to every region and every state, just as they do in the primaries, in order to win the general election.

More importantly, they would have to listen to all Americans and would need to do what most people want: address crumbling infrastructure, redress dreadful disparities in opportunity between people in different towns, states, and regions, provide affordable and great education for everyone, guarantee decent and much cheaper health care for Americans everywhere.

We have a great country and a great culture. We need a system that lets us achieve our potential.

The purpose of this letter is to ask advocates, legislators, news reporters and makers, concerned citizens to contact MEVC [here](#). We intend to mobilize Americans in every state to change the system. Your help is vital.

Seasons greetings to all!



Making Every Vote Count Foundation | 850 10th Street NW, Washington, DC 20001

[Unsubscribe dducey@az.gov](#)

[Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by info@mevcfoundation.org in collaboration with



Try it free today

From: In The News <salmaugere=inthenewsonline.com@sp02c.hubspotemail.net> on behalf of In The News <salmaugere@inthenewsonline.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 3:58 AM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Black Friday, Cyber Monday? We Did Too. Limited Holiday Savings 25% OFF and Free Shipping

Not rendering correctly? View this email as a web page [here](#).



Holiday Savings from In The News

48 Hours Only


25% OFF

48 HOUR SALE VALID THROUGH 12/13/18 ONLY


Happy Holidays from your friends at In The News.

There Is Still Time!

Order now to receive your plaque delivered before Christmas!*



Use promo code **HOLIDAY25** at time of checkout on our web store at <https://shop.inthenewsonline.com> or, if placing an order by phone please provide the promo code to your sales representative to apply discount to your order.





If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call us at [800-548-3993](tel:8005483993) Ext 3030 and we will be more than happy to assist you or you may visit our website for our new **live chat** feature on our [website](#) to assist you or answer any questions you may have during our business hours (8:30am - 5:30pm EST).

Sincerely,

[Salvatore A. Maugere](#)


In The News, Inc.
8517 Sunstate St.
Tampa, FL 33634

Phone: [800-548-3993](tel:8005483993) x3030

www.inthenewsonline.com

salmaugere@inthenewsonline.com

* Please advise us of your ship-to address to ensure you will receive your order by Christmas depending on your location. Express shipping is available for an additional fee.



In The News, Inc. 8517 Sunstate St. Tampa, FL 33634 United States

You received this email because you are subscribed to Marketing Information from In The News, Inc..

Update your [email preferences](#) to choose the types of emails you receive.

[Unsubscribe from all future emails](#)

From: Corey Lee Wilson <mail@personally-help-you.com>
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 6:36 PM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Fight Sexual Harassment, Misogyny and Bad Manners Now!

[View In Browser](#)

Support the November 12, 2018 Crowdfunding Campaign Launch for this National Proclamation and Awareness Program

x

This crowdfunding campaign's goal is to fund a national petition for a presidential proclamation and new awareness program to make every month of April the "Be a Gentleman and Be a Lady" Month and Awareness Program. Its

purpose is to promote gentlemanly and ladylike behavior and their core values between the sexes in a 21st century dynamic as well as help bring an end to sexual harassment, misogynistic behavior and bad manners.

[Become a Sponsor](#)

Become a Backer or Sponsor for the National

Be a Gentleman and Be a Lady

Month of April Proclamation

Want to be help promote gentlemanly and ladylike behavior and be part of the solution to end the problem of sexual harassment, misogynistic behavior and bad manners? If the answer is yes—here is how you can be make a difference by sponsoring a national petition for a presidential proclamation to make every April the

National "Be a Gentleman and Be a Lady Month" and Awareness Program

Simply follow the sponsor link above, visit the www.GentlemanandLady.com website, or contact

Corey Lee Wilson, the inspiration for this proclamation at Fratire Publishing below, for more info.



Email

FratirePublishing@att.net



Phone

(951)
638-
5502

www.FratirePublishing.com

(c) 2018, All Rights Reserved
[| Unsubscribe](#)

From: Governor's Human Resources Division <govhr@az.gov>
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 3:54 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: RAD FOOD TRUCKS LUNCH AT THE DOC - 12/12 & 12/14

Hello All, Please see below email from DOC Food Trucks:

Hi Food Lovers -

Here are the food trucks selected to serve at the Department of Corrections Building – 1601 W Jefferson St, Phx - this week. Lunch is served 11am-1pm. All trucks accept Cash/Credit/RAD Bucks...

I've had so many failed emails so please spread the word that we now have a Facebook for this property. For anyone whose not getting my emails tell them to check our Facebook.

FOR UP TO DATE TRUCK LISTINGS AND NOTIFICATIONS - CHECK OUT THE FACEBOOK PAGE FOR THE FOOD TRUCK LUNCH @ the DOC AND RADFOODTRUCKS.

See attached for truck menus:

• WEDNESDAY, 12/12 -

- CLEVELAND CORNED BEEF CO
- TZIKII PITA GRILL
- DOWN ON THE BAYOU

• FRIDAY, 12/14 -

- AMERICAN POUTINE
- HIBACHIBOT
- ISLAND LOCO
- PANINI PEOPLE
- OFF THE HOOK FISH N TACOS



Rocka_Menu.png

DRINKS

• GRINDTIME COFFEE

Trucks will be parked on the curb between 16th and 17th on Jefferson in front of the Department of Corrections.

Thank you,

Vanessa Villalobos
Governor's Human Resource Division
1700 W Washington St, Suite 500
Phoenix, AZ 85007
govhr@az.gov

From: Arch McGil!
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 2:46 PM
Subject: Facts about Climate Change Science and Ocean Acidification, truth from consensus and climate change skeptics

<http://www.climatechangefacts.info/#Fact>

With ClimateGate over, more data and methods will be in the open. Will it affect the results? Will the recent warmth still be "unprecedented" with the Medieval Warm Period in plain sight?


Virtually all scientists agree that the Earth has warmed a small amount since the year 1000 or, if you choose, since 1850, when instrumented temperature records became reasonably accurate and distributed in key areas of the world. An increasing number believe that any warming is so small it is indistinguishable from the noise in the environmental data sets, and that the data have not been properly adjusted for such things as urban heat island effects (are the city temps warmer than the suburbs where you live? Has the city grown since 1850? Have the runways increased near the temp gage at your airport since 1920?), and instrument calibration. This is particularly true of the global data set, even though "urbanization has caused regional increases in temperature that exceed those measured on a global scale, leading to urban heat islands as much as 12°C hotter than their surroundings". Most scientists agree that warming is better than cooling and many believe CO₂ provides important enhancements for forests and agriculture, even while also believing we should not be fouling our nest.

Our site makes every effort to present the true science of climate change. When the news broke of the Arctic ice being at its 30 year low in 2007, the same source (U. of Illinois) reported the Antarctic at its record high, but this was not reported in the media. To show the imbalance, as one reads in the popular press, we have created a companion site that presents the [evidence for global cooling](#), just as most newspapers and alarmists present only the science that supports warming. There are many reasons to be cautious about accepting CO₂ as the causative agent if there really is warming. This is highlighted by 2 papers published in March 2008. [Scafetta and West](#) showed that up to 69% of observed warming is from the sun and [Ramanathan and Carmichael](#) show that soot has 60% of the warming power of CO₂. They claim both factors are underappreciated by IPCC. Many scientists believe the temperature changes are more dependent on the sun than CO₂, similar to the relationship in your home with your furnace. The soot may well explain much of the Arctic melting, as it has [recently for Asian glaciers](#).

It is generally accepted that the Earth has been much warmer than today, for example, in the time of the dinosaurs (the mid-cretaceous period) when the CO₂ was 2 to 4 times greater than today (NOAA). More recently, in the prior period between ice ages, just 125,000 years ago, the Earth also was much warmer than today and the sea level much higher - by about 13 to 20 feet (4 to 6 meters) (IPCC). The primary driver of the past climate shifts is believed to be orbital mechanics and solar variability, with some contribution from Earth geophysical processes, such as volcanic eruptions. It is also known that mankind's contribution to CO₂ is just a small percent (3%) of the total amount and that the total is very small - there is 23.6 times more argon (0.00934) in the atmosphere than CO₂ (0.000407. The Earth's ability to absorb CO₂ has apparently been underestimated and the climate models need revision per the 31 December 2009 publication of work by Wolfgang Knorr that shows ["No Rise of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Fraction in Past 160 Years"](#) (a seminal study). Lastly, we know that the Earth's temperature and the level of CO₂ rise and fall roughly together, but it is not clear (not proven) whether this is cause and effect by either variable. In a first attempt (Hadley-chart) to use a CO₂ - based model to predict temperatures, the results are not impressive at all and are exactly opposite observations.

The cause of the temperature rise, and therefore the future course, is settled only within the consensus group of scientists. This is based on work of computer modelers, believing their increasingly complex models show the cause is due to man's activities and that there will be increasing temperatures according to how much additional greenhouse gases are emitted. There are many other scientists who are non-modelers, many with backgrounds as atmospheric physicists, climatologists, engineers, meteorologists, and paleo-climatologists, who do not believe the primary cause is mankind, although this could be part of it. These scientists and the people who follow them are often called climate change skeptics. Most of these scientists believe that the sun is at the root of the warming (if any), but that other factors are also at work. Among scientists and concerned citizens are those who believe that the link between CO₂ and warming is the last great hope to decrease the pollution inherent in the burning of fossile fuels. This leads them to rebel at any science, or any statements, that diminishes their ability to foster a reduction in fossil fuel usage. To help separate fact from fiction, an explanation of these thoughts is included here, along with links to these materials.


The Hadley prediction chart and the observation chart below are from the [Climatic Research Unit \(CRU\)](#) at the University of East Anglia,



which is well respected for its historical databases maintained at the global level, and which are used in IPCC assessments. See the [Hadley composite charts](#) for 1860 to present by month, year and quarter by hemisphere. Note that "global" warming is greatest in the Northern hemisphere. Links to similar charts, but all slightly different with different assumptions or algorithms to fill in missing or sparse data, are provided in the left margin. The Japan Met. Agency (JMA) site is recommended because it is interactive and allows you to query the data base. For example, go to the [JMA site](#) and try


different months, such as August and September. This will confirm the IPCC models that predict more warming will occur during the winter months than the summer. We don't know if this true.

This chart looks ominous until put into the context that the average temperature for 1901-2000 is 13.9C (57.0F), so



we are talking about a change from about 0.7 deg.C per century. Scientifically, we should use absolute temperatures, which would add an additional 273 C (460 F) degrees. In this context the increase is about 0.3 %. As seen below, this increase since the mid 1800s is similar to that since the year 1000, although IPCC says the present temperature is *likely* higher. Greenland ice cores indicate that the start of the instrumented data (thermometers) coincides with a cold period in the northern hemisphere and that at the [site of a well-studied ice core](#) (Global Cooling-Doomsday Called Off), the temperature in the mid 1800s was the coldest in 8,000 years.

For the USA, the trend is less




pronounced.

[NOAA](#): "The

globally averaged temperature over land and ocean surfaces for September 2017 was the fourth highest for the month of September in the NOAA global temperature dataset record, which dates back 138 years to 1880. The year-to-date global temperature was second-warmest on record.

Russian Academicians [believe major cooling is imminent](#). The [January 2009 PRAVDA ice-age article](#) is likely vetted by them and the IPCC co-chair.



As the number of stations (often rural) has fallen the temperature has risen in an inverse relationship, suggesting the Urban Heat Island effect is [the key component of any rise](#).

What Issues Separate the Consensus and Skeptic Scientists?

There are four elements separating consensus and skeptic scientists. Not all elements are disputed by everyone. The elements are: (1) the amount of temperature change since 1850; (2) whether the change is in the range of natural variability or is attributable to humans; (3) the amount of warming that greenhouse gases (CO₂ and equivalents) will warm the Earth in the future; and whether for the most likely scenarios, there are more losers than winners and if the change is just different. Underlying these elements are several issues:

- **Reliance on Computer Models.** The sophistication of computer models has advanced steadily over the past few years, to the point that many scientists believe the models are able to forecast future changes in climate. Other scientists believe that the outputs, while interesting, do not match the reality of what happened in prior periods of the Earth's history when the temperature was higher and the CO₂ levels 2 to 20 times higher than today. Most also believe the Earth system is far too complex, with too many unknown drivers and feedbacks, to enable use of models. One example is the El Niño phenomenon, which is not reliably modeled after decades of study. Another is that there are indications that the models are wrong in the drought predictions in the tropics and subtropics. Paleo data shows that deserts were wetter during prior warm periods and a [May, 2007 paper in Nature](#) points out that there is a ~6.5% rise in precip per deg C, while the models only use 1-3%, an error of 3X! in results. This explains better the satellite obs [of a greener, wetter Earth \(NASA\)](#). The models cannot be initialized to current conditions and are unable to correctly incorporate the major decadal scale vents such as the NAO and the PDO, that control our climate in the northern hemisphere ([Dr. Kevin Trenberth, IPCC Author](#)).
- **Computer models are too coarse.** Everyone knows the models should have finer resolution, but there are computational constraints and staffing constraints to develop models at the regional or even local scale. The skeptics argue that this is part of the overstating of impacts. for example. a mountainous area the size of a large country may have an average height that is barely

above sea level. The rain-producing mountains that intercept sea-breezes do not exist in the model and the result of the simulation understates future rainfall for the region. There is also a problem in the use of average temperatures in that they do not capture the difference in density and humidity.

- **The models do not seem correct.** The warming of the ocean, the warming of the land, the rise of sea level are all coming in below projections, while much more rain is falling, as the time series grows and even as the models are "adjusted" to reflect the observations, casting their basic premises into doubt. On 22 June, 2007 ([Dr. Nils-Axel Mörner](#)) we learned that data were manipulated to create an acceleration in sea level rise. Warming should be detected through an acceleration in the rate of rise and that rise should slow the Earth's rotation. Neither has occurred.
- **Attribution of the warming trend to human activities.** The consensus scientists believe their models can replicate reasonably enough the contribution of human-caused greenhouse gases and thus they can be used to estimate future warming. The other scientists believe this is not the case. The reasons vary among the scientists, but the most common ones are: CO₂, the target gas, pales in its abilities to impact temperature compared to water vapor and solar variability (not just radiation but also magnetic flux (which controls cosmic radiation and cloudiness) and orbital mechanics). Some scientists believe that [CO₂ is actually a cooling gas](#) and we need to look elsewhere. Additional factors that some skeptics believe are not adequately considered are the natural contributions of CO₂ and other gases that dwarf the human component and the impact of cosmic radiation on the formation of clouds. Also, it is not clear to some scientists whether CO₂ increases lead to warming or whether warming leads to CO₂ increases. To many skeptics, the over valuation of CO₂ as a causative agent, particularly in light of it having a logarithmic function that decreases impact with the amount of CO₂, is an indication of a policy agenda meant to deter the use of fossil fuels, not understand climate change.
- **This warming may be natural variability.** While most scientists believe that the observed warming is real, some believe that it is so slight that we can't be sure that instrument calibration problems and [urban heat island impacts](#) have been dealt with

adequately. If not, it has not been for lack of effort. The problems are immense. For example, in 1999, Los Angeles moved its data station 4 miles to an area outside the city that is lower in elevation and nearer the coast, with cooler, drier, and less extreme conditions. Even when a location has not moved, the rising temperatures may reflect the growth of a community, or land use changes, around it. Calibration is daunting for calibrating the instruments themselves. An example is relating sea surface temperatures that were derived from a thermometer placed in a bucket of water pulled from the ocean in 1860, with a continuous stream of data taken from a ship's water inlet much deeper in the water in the 1960s, data from drifting and moored buoys transmitted by satellite in the 1980s, and with satellite data from the very top layer of the surface since the 1970s. Perhaps only time will really tell. Many skeptic scientists believe that the trend line turned in 1998 for the present cycle, while many consensus scientists are quick to point out that we are still having temperatures above average, and in turn, the skeptics claim that there is no real way to compute a global average. Lastly, the best data are from the USA. According to NOAA, 2006 was the warmest year in U.S. records, almost the same as 1936. The skeptics say that if only rural sites are used, the temperature actually falls, indicating that in the US, and probably the world, what has been measured is the growth of cities and the heat they absorb and generate; there is no warming.

- **This warming is largely recovery from the Little Ice Age.** The natural rate of increase of about 1 deg F (0.5 C) since the LIA (~1500-1900) has not been removed from the IPCC estimations of temperature rise. The CO₂ contribution is negligible or non-existent because there is no credible way to compensate for the sharp cooling from 1940 to the 1970s in the face of the rapid growth of CO₂, nor the similar (to present) rise from 1920 to 1940 in the absence of rapid CO₂ growth. See for example, [Is the Earth still recovering from the “Little Ice Age”?: A possible cause of global warming by Syun-Ichi Akasofu \(7 May 2007\)](#). Another difficulty with accepting the temperature rise at face value is the evidence that the start of the use of thermometers in about 1850 comes at the same time as the [emergence from the coldest period in 8,000 years.](#)

- **The rate of warming is dangerous.** Not so, say the skeptics, pointing out that the rate of warming from 1980 to 1998 has been seen before, and for many parts of the Earth such temperature changes are recurrent, such as when the Atlantic and Pacific and ENSO (el Niño) oscillations change state, causing immediate massive changes in ocean environments of fish, corals, and marine mammals.
- **Sensationalist press not counteracted.** The fact that Antarctica is warming in the area nearest Chile gets heralded, but the IPCC science documents show that, as a whole, Antarctica is stable. Flooding of coasts and cities, attributed to warming, is not countered by the IPCC, even though its science document shows no discernible acceleration in the rate of rise, a solid indicator of warming and necessary for prior sea level projections. In November 2016, [The Cryosphere journal](#) published a peer-reviewed study by Dr. Jonathan Day showing that Antarctic sea ice extent is unchanged from 100 years ago, as recorded by early explorers.
- **Warming Impacts.** Many scientists in the consensus group believe that the IPCC estimates of temperature rise are accurate and the impact from these changes will be bad for the Earth, its ecosystems, and its people. Other scientists, even if accepting the IPCC forecasts, believe that the Earth was warmer before and with higher CO₂ levels and that these were among the most ecologically productive periods in terms of speciation and biomass. This contrasts sharply with periods of glaciation, the ice ages, that come and go whether humans have any influence or not. A case in point is [my testimony](#) which shows the paleo record tells us that corals were very expansive when the Earth was warmer and CO₂ much higher, whereas 3 other scientists testified that corals were in grave danger, even now, due to the high temperatures and acidification of the ocean caused by CO₂.
- **An Average Wrong Answer.** The IPCC reliance on emission scenarios, and then presenting all the outputs of temperature rise and impacts as if they had somewhat equal probability, leads to an average wrong answer and exaggerated impact assessments.
- **Influence of the Sun.** Scientists affiliated with the Consensus believe solar influences are not important to the recent warming and that are actually in the wrong direction ([See recent paper by](#)

[Lockwood and Frohlich](#)). Other scientists believe that the analysis is flawed and that the actual mechanisms through which the Sun affects Earth climate were not used in the analysis ([for example, Whitehouse](#)). Many solar scientists believe that most of the Earth's temperature variation is explained by the sun's activity and our

proximity to it ([Scafetta and West](#)).

These issues and many more are hotly debated on consensus and skeptic websites and blogs. Some representative Consensus and Skeptic sites with at least a reasonable amount of scientific basis are linked on the left navigation column. One constant debate is the importance of undersea and terrestrial volcanoes in contributing CO₂ and the cooling effect of the ash cast into the sky. The photo shows Mount Tungurahua active in July 2007. Click on [Mount Tungurahua for larger version](#) and text (source: [www.OceansArt.us](#)).

What are the views of the IPCC Process by the Skeptics?

- **Very Few Scientists.** Few scientists are actually involved in writing the materials, perhaps a few dozen. Usually there is one real leader, a Chair or Co-Chair and 2 or 3 titular co-chairs that often are present to provide balance for the developing nations. Because of skill or language barriers they may not be greatly involved. **Lead Authors** are usually involved in just one piece of the section or chapter. These few people, depending on the breadth of the chapter, prepare the first and subsequent drafts and the Executive Summary that feeds into the SPM, long before the draft chapters have been through national reviews. Even here, the Co-Chairs decide which inputs are to be accepted, or make recommendations that usually are sustained, during plenary sessions. Yes, thousands of scientists review the IPCC documents,

but usually only those parts that impinge on their own expertise, which is usually quite narrow.

- **Falsification of Data.** With the advent of [ClimateGate](#) (hacked emails among key IPCC modelers and data gurus), this is a new point of contention. What many suspected for a long time when they couldn't replicate IPCC results, and could not access the IPCC-used data, seems to be true, at least to them. The fallout could be immense. One thing is certain, we now know how the Medieval Warm Period was suppressed to make the current warmth appear "unprecedented" and how the present stabilization (or perhaps cooling) has been hidden. *From: Phil Jones To: ray bradley ,mann@XXXX, mhughes@XXXX Subject: Diagram for WMO Statement Date: Tue, 16 Nov 1999 13:31:15 +0000 Cc: k.briffa@XXX.osborn@XXXX Dear Ray, Mike and Malcolm, Once Tim's got a diagram here we'll send that either later today or first thing tomorrow. I've just completed Mike's Nature trick [**This is how the Medieval warmth was hidden**] of adding in the real temps to each series for the last 20 years (ie from 1981 onwards) amd from 1961 for Keith's **to hide the [present] decline**. Mike's series got the annual land and marine values while the other two got April-Sept for NH land N of 20N. The latter two are real for 1999, while the estimate for 1999 for NH combined is +0.44C wrt 61-90. The Global estimate for 1999 with data through Oct is +0.35C cf. 0.57 for 1998. Thanks for the comments, Ray. Cheers Phil Prof. Phil Jones Climatic Research Unit Telephone XXXX School of Environmental Sciences Fax XXXX University of East Anglia. Comment: whether or not "trick" was merely a "technique", it in fact made the warm period disappear. From skeptic viewpoints, the investigations largely clearing those at the core of the scandal, was preordained by the selection of investigators. Also see [Our Climate Change and ClimateGate Positions](#).*

ClimateGate Articles:

- [Time Magazine](#): As Climate Summit Nears, Skeptics Gain Traction
- [Washington Post](#): In e-mails, science of warming is hot debate
- [BBC News](#): Climate e-mail hack 'will impact on Copenhagen summit'

- [Wall Street Journal](#): Climategate: Science Is Dying - Science is on the credibility bubble
- [Science](#): Stolen E-mails Turn Up Heat on Climate Change Rhetoric

Overstatement of Risks and Impacts. We know from the paleo record that the Earth routinely goes through climate swings greater than IPCC projects, yet IPCC does not go far enough in correcting overstatements in its own documents and in those of the press. There will be winners and losers, but always we hear only of the losses. Balance is missing. Things will be different, but not necessarily worse. For example, sea level rise has been happening since the end of the last ice age, and there is little evidence of any significant acceleration, yet most people believe that global warming will flood all coastal areas. The areas may flood, just as Georges Bank is now deep beneath the Atlantic, if this unusually long period in between ice ages continues and we see warmth as great as the last interglacial, but flooding won't be caused by human-derived warming, although it may contribute. Another example is the great numbers of reports about Antarctica warming, yet the latest [IPCC document](#) shows that there is no evidence of any change in temperature or ice coverage.

- **Too Many People are Excluded or Their Input not Valued.** This includes astronomers and geologists. Some times the exclusion is real or just perceived. Over time this tends to make the inside group of IPCC scientists more uniform in their beliefs while adding to the anti-IPCC consensus.
- **Pressure is Placed on People Who Disagree with the IPCC Majority.** This is evidenced by the attempted removal of at least 4 US state climatologists whose Governors have decided that conformance to the consensus view is required. The [Policy Statement of their Association](#) states that the natural variability in the climate system is very strong, that we lack the ability to predict greater than about 10 years into the future, and it is essential to collect data necessary to know if the climate is changing.
- **The process leading to the Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) is too Political.** The Skeptics have more trouble with the SPM than the underlying reports. It is not too affectionately called

"SPAM". Even though the Scientists are present during finalization, and have sufficient authority to make sure they can live with it, many of the national delegations work to accomplish their policy objectives through the report. Some Skeptics have responded by developing an Independent SPM, based on the same underlying IPCC science documents, but with very different interpretations. An excellent report by the [Earth Negotiations Bulletin](#) sheds light on the process. It is recommended reading. An excerpt follows from their report of the approval meeting of the IPCC SPM for WG1 (2007):

"Participants discussed whether it would be clearer to state that warming of the climate system is "unequivocal" or "evident." Participants agreed to state that warming is "unequivocal." Canada, with Germany and Switzerland, suggested adding a reference to the accelerating trend of warming. China, New Zealand, and South Africa, supported by the Coordinating Lead Authors, opposed this, given the possibility of decadal variability, and the reference was not included in this section.

On text noting high decadal variability in Arctic temperatures, Canada, supported by Norway, suggested removing a specific reference to a warm period observed from 1925 to 1945. The Coordinating Lead Authors explained that "climate skeptics" often point to this warm spell to question the IPCC for not acknowledging such warm spells. Participants agreed to keep the reference."

An excellent review of the issues and how the "consensus" has made a mistake is presented in an [excellent article \(written by Bob Foster\)](#) of sufficient quality to be included among the British briefing documents on Her Majesty's Treasury website.

What Does the Consensus Say About the Skeptics?

- **On Somebody's Payroll.** Many press articles have been written to say that scientists who disagree do so because they are being supported by oil companies or some other group with a stake in the

outcome. The counter argument is that most consensus scientists have funding derived from government or university sources that are directly based on public concern and fear. It is most likely that nearly all scientists say and write that which they do because they believe it to be true. Money, whether a Federal grant, or a corporate grant, will flow to support an avenue of inquiry believed in the grantor's interest. Science is not bought in advance (usually).

- **Not Mainstream Scientists.** The skeptics are discredited often by allegations that they are not doing work that is germane to the climate change work, or that they are inexperienced. The countering allegation is that since one or more of any reviewers for the main scientific journals are likely to be members of the consensus, any work showing the consensus view is wrong cannot be published in a primary journal. One fact is that many of the mainline skeptics are full professors involved in climate research at major universities.
- **Disproportionate Press Coverage.** Consensus scientists and their allies decry all the attention the Skeptics get, when they are so few. Perhaps they are perceived to be so few because Consensus people do not see them in the room and do not realize how numerous they are becoming. Conversely the Skeptics have reached critical mass and, not seeing any consensus members in their own midst, see themselves as the true consensus. Of course, the press loves a controversy. It, along with fear, are two primary attributes that expand the audience. If anyone believes the Skeptics are some tiny minority, try using your favorite search engine to search for **climate skeptics**. The search will show dozens or hundreds of pro and con Skeptic arguments and web sites. It will show some of the hype and hysteria on all sides of the climate arguments, as well as legitimate scientific sites and discussion forums.

Is Ocean Acidification Real? Is it Bad?

There is not a problem with increased CO₂ in the water, leading to acidification (See our [US Congress Testimony](#)).

There are 4 primary factors:

- **First**, laboratory work shows there is no basis to predict the demise of ocean shelled plants and animals. The animals above them in the food chain will still find food. The science actually indicates plants, crustaceans, and shelled algae plankton will be more successful. Since they are at or near the bottom of the food chain, this is good news.
- **Second**, the Earth has been this route before. The oceans have been far warmer and far colder and more acidic (2-20X) than is projected. The memory of these events is built into the genes of all species. Virtually all ecological niches have been filled at all times. If someone could demonstrate that there were no corals, clams, oysters, or shelled plankton (e.g., copepods, krill, certain algae) when there was double or triple the amount of CO₂, we would be concerned. The opposite is true.
- **Third**, observational data in studies properly controlled for other variables (e.g., upwelling, rainfall, pollution, temperature, disease) show no harm. IPCC concluded (prior to the Iglesias-Rodriguez paper (positive impact)) that there is no observational evidence of oceanic changes due to acidification. There is also nothing conclusive in the recent research to indicate any reason for concern.
- **Lastly**, natural changes are greater and faster than those projected. Major warming, cooling, and pH changes in the oceans are a fact of life. Whether over a few years as in an El Niño, over decades as in the Pacific Oscillation, or over a few hours as a burst of upwelling appears or a storm brings acidic rainwater to an estuary. Despite severe and rapid changes that far exceed those in the scenarios, the biology adapts rapidly. The 0.1 change in ocean alkalinity since 1750 and the one degree F. rise since 1860 are but noise in this rapidly changing system. In the face of all these natural changes, whether over days or millennia, some species flourish while others diminish.
- **Conclusion.** The crustaceans responding favorably in research by Ries et al. (crabs, lobsters, shrimp) are probably similar to those at the base of the ocean food chain such as krill and copepods. Since they eat algae, which also responds favorably to CO₂ increases (and warmer temperatures), it is likely there will be increased food in the sea. With no laboratory or observational evidence of biological disruption, we see no economic disruption of commercial and

recreational fisheries, nor harm to marine mammals, sea turtles or any other protected species. Open-minded research is needed to sort it out.

Is Global Warming Bad?

- **The IPCC Reports Suggest There Will be More Losers than Winners with Warming.** The IPCC process includes many unlikely scenarios. These worst-case estimates also have associated impacts, from benign to worst-case. Thus we get the 5% worst impacts that have a 5% chance of happening (a combined 0.25% likelihood) equally discussed with benign changes that are reasonable to expect. By the time summaries are written, and press releases, only the bad effects are presented. This leads to a gross exaggeration of the problems.
- **Global Warming Will Actually Have More Winners than Losers.** Throughout the history of human life, the Earth's livability has always been better when the climate has been warmer than cooler. Human populations have expanded the most when the Earth warmed and turned greener, whether during the middle ages or during the last 2 decades. Whether it is a fish in the ocean, a shrimp in an aquaculture pond, or a bean on a vine, it will grow faster when it is warmer, all things being equal. Humans will be quick to take advantage of a warmer climate and to adjust if it gets too warm in an area. More crops grow where it is warm or hot than in frozen ground, and CO₂ is a primary food of plants - basic facts that seem forgotten. Even now, NASA satellites show that the Earth has become 6% greener as the world has warmed over the past 20 years: "[Our study \(NASA\)](#) proposes climatic changes as the leading cause for the increases in plant growth over the last two decades, with lesser contribution from carbon dioxide fertilization and forest re-growth". Further, a May 2007 Nature paper shows that precipitation increases 6.5% per degree C rise, not the 1-3% used in models, making the Earth 3X wetter than models forecast. Deserts, as is known for prior warm periods, will be wetter, not drier. In the warm coastal farm lands near Guayaquil, Ecuador (near the equator) are many greenhouses, and in the cooler elevations, they are everywhere. This makes it clear how warming might be good for agriculture and certainly better than cooling.

- **More People Die from the Cold than From Heat and no Place on Earth is too Hot for Humans.** In Europe, more than 200,000 people die from excess heat while 1.5 million people die from excess cold (Source: [Lomborg 2007](#)), a point left out of most assessments. For the US, the net lower death count from global warming in 2050 is estimated at 174,000 per year (Citation in [Lomborg 2007](#)).
- The climate cooling scare of the 1970s was (and still is) real. Some of the materials were condensed by the CIA and were kept classified. See the left margin for links. [In a just found \(Dec 09\) CIA cooling report](#): "The western world's leading climatologists have confirmed reports of a detrimental global climatic change. The stability of most nations is based upon a dependable source of food, but this stability will not be possible under the new climatic era. A forecast by the University of Wisconsin projects that the Earth's climate is returning to that of the neo-boreal era (1600-1850) - an era of drought, famine, and political unrest in the western world." (August 1974)

What About Polar Bears and Arctic Warming and Antarctic Cooling?

- **Polar Bears have Endured Warmer Periods than are Forecast by IPCC.** They evolved into their present form some [700,000 years ago](#) (or [100,000 years ago](#)) (or [200,000 years ago](#)) (or [before the beginning of the last interglacial](#)) and their molars changed some [10,000 to 20,000 years ago](#). Importantly, polar bears were likely present in some final version of their present form, during the last interglacial (130-110,000 years ago), [as late 2007 research indicates](#), when there was virtually no ice at the North Pole and average Arctic temperatures at that time were 5.7 to 9.5 deg. F (3 to 5 deg. C) higher than present ([IPCC, 2007](#)). This date of evolution should be determined factually, as a first step, before taking action. If polar bears survived the past interglacial, as late 2007 findings indicate, the present warming may be of little consequence. In any case, the 20 polar bear populations need to be looked at individually, in terms of their threats and adaptability, and the management systems that govern their conservation.

- The Arctic Reaches Record Low Ice Coverage in

2007.

The press trumpeted this every few days in the summer of 2007. Further down the page on the monitoring sites of major institutions was some additional news. Antarctic ice coverage at the same time was at or above the highest levels ever recorded. In each case, reliable measurements date only from the arrival of satellite data in the 1970s, another usually omitted fact. However, since the Northwest Passage was open to sailing vessels in [1903-1905 \(Gjøa/Roald Amundsen\)](#), and again in [1940-42](#) and [1944 \(St. Roch\)](#), it is possible this recent reduction in Arctic ice is not an indicator of warming. The return of Arctic sea ice in 2008 to levels at least 30% greater than 2007 received little attention. In May 2010, the ice extent was 1.5% below average.

Will the expanding Antarctic ice cause a new ice age?

"[Science](#) (27 September 2007)" reports that the loss of Antarctic ice triggered the last warming and eventual emergence from the last ice age. Will the current expansion of Antarctic ice coverage be sufficient to trigger the next ice age? The Arctic reached record low ice coverage in 2007, but Antarctic ice coverage at the same time was [at or above the highest levels ever recorded](#). In each case, reliable measurements date only from the arrival of satellite data in the 1970s, another usually omitted fact. Since the Northwest Passage was navigated by sailing vessels in [1903-1905 \(Gjøa/Roald Amundsen\)](#), and again in [1940-42](#) and [1944 \(St. Roch\)](#), this recent reduction in Arctic ice may not be an indicator of warming. Antarctic Temperature Chart from [CO2Science.org](#). The [up-to-date Southern Hemisphere Sea Ice Anomaly](#)

chart is based on the era of satellite data, beginning in 1975. The current anomaly for the last 365 days is also available.

Is CO₂ becoming so dense we cannot breathe?

- There is no threat to our ability to breathe. Oxygen and nitrogen still make up 99% of our atmosphere. With Argon, we are at 99.9 %. The trace gases including Methane, CO₂ and the other greenhouse gasses make up a minuscule part of what we breathe, but they have important abilities to make the Earth warmer. These gases in the atmosphere (in order) by percent are:
 - Nitrogen 78.08%
 - Oxygen 20.95%
 - Argon 0.93%
 - Carbon Dioxide 0.040682% (Dec. 2017 - Global, NOAA)
 - Neon 0.002%
 - Helium 0.0005%
 - Methane 0.0001786% (NCAR: end of 2008)
 - Krypton 0.0001%
 - Hydrogen 0.00005%
 - Water vapor 0 to 4%
 - Ozone 0.000004%

(Source: Southern Florida State University (2017), except Methane: NCAR and CO₂ NOAA)

Older Climate Change News - Starting in 1895

- New York Times, Feb 24, 1895 - Prospects of Another Glacial Period
- New York Times, Dec 7, 1905 - Amundsen Navigates Northwest Passage
- New York Times Oct 7, 1912 - Sees Glacial Era Coming
- New York Times Apr 6, 1919 - Do you Think our Climate is Changing?
- New York Times May 15, 1932 - Melting Polar Ice Caps

- New York Times May 5, 1946 - [Top of the World \(book review includes NW passage made during WWII\)](#) (*Need subscription or pay*)
- New York Times Feb 20, 1969 - [Arctic Ocean Will Soon Be an Open Sea](#) (*Need subscription or pay*)
- New York Times May 21, 1975 - [Scientists Ponder Why World's Climate Is Changing; a Major Cooling Widely Considered to Be Inevitable](#) (*Need subscription or pay*)
- Newsweek, April 28, 1975 - [The Cooling World.](#)
- Harper's, September 1958 - [The Coming Ice Age](#) (*Cover Story - Need subscription or pay*)

Recent Climate Change News - 2007 to Present

NOAA: [Warmest March in Record](#) (Apr 15, 2010)

[IPCC invites Authors for next assessment](#) (Jan 2010)

Science Daily: [No Rise of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Fraction in Past 160 Years](#) (Dec 30, 2009)

Newsday: [ClimateGate hurts IPCC credibility](#) (Nov 21, 2009)

Scientist: [The Sun Defines The Climate. Cooling is real](#) (Oct 2009)

NASA: [Sunspots disappearing](#) (Sep 3, 2009)

BBC: [extreme cold in Peru due to climate change](#) (Jul 12, 2009)

NOAA: [Recent US Temps at average](#) (Jul 10, 2009)

Scientists: [Warming might be on hold, study finds](#) (Mar 2, 2009)

Modeler: [Warming will be worse than predicted](#) (Feb 15, 2009)

NOAA: [Climate Change Irreversible](#) (Jan 26, 2009)

[Greenland Ice Argammedon Stops](#) (Jan 23, 2009)

Science Daily: [Marine fish remove CO₂](#) (Jan 15, 2009)

700 Prominent Scientists Dispute Global Warming: [US Senate Report](#) (Dec 11, 2008)

Science:[Greenland Ice Argammedon Stops](#) (Jan 15, 2009)

Asian soot [damaging](#) (Nov 13, 2008)

UK MetOffice: [Cooling is really warming](#) (Sep 22, 2008)

Arctic ice refuses to melt as ordered: [30% Above 2007](#) (Aug 15, 2008)

[G8 aims to halve greenhouse gases](#) (Jul 8, 2008)

Big[Chill in Queensland](#) (Jun 21, 2007)

[Global Sea Ice returns to average extent](#)

Sudden climate change: [22 deg. F in 50 years in ice cores](#)

BP: [Review of World Energy 2008](#)

ScienceDaily: [Sunspots missing](#). Could signal start of cooling as in 1600s

Nature: [Antarctic deep water cools](#)

Nature: [Warming plateau to continue](#)

Solar: [69% of warming](#)

Soot: [60% of CO₂ warming; ice loss](#)

[Coal price rises 50%](#) in 6 months

[Climate dissent broadens](#)

Sea Level Rising Faster

Antarctic Ice at new high

Coldest winter in decades

NASA data: Jan. temp drop greatest Yr-Yr since 1880

Sun goes quiet. Cooling is closer

UK Study: Heat-related deaths to fall if temp rises

"We really don't have a moment to lose"

China has worst winter in 5 decades

Ice accumulation rate doubled since 1850 in W. Antarctica Peninsula

Russia Warns of Cold Emergency in Siberia: -55C (-67F)

2007 second warmest year behind 1934 in USA, which has best data

Global Sea Ice back above normal

Melting Of Greenland's Ice like 1920s-1940s warming.

Global warming destroying coral reefs warns international study

Polar Bears survived warmer periods. Polar bear jawbone found.

Pope assails climate alarmists. People come first; science must be sound.

Decrease of solar activity is harbinger of 1.5 deg C drop by 2020 (3X the rise of the last Century) Warming greatest in past decade Argentina November (2007) frost devastates agriculture. 10% of wheat lost. (Español)

Half of all warming since 1900 is due to sun [say Duke University and US Army researchers](#) (Nov 2007). The rest is poor global data.

UN Sec General challenges states on warming. [Says real and affordable options exist.](#)

Arctic Ocean Reverses. [NASA: not all the changes in Arctic climate are from global warming](#) (Nov 2007)

As much as 120 centimeters of snow had fallen on the Alps as of mid November, [the largest amount at the start of winter in more than 5 decades](#) (Nov 2007)

Buenos Aires records [its lowest temperature for November in 90 years, 2.5 deg.C](#) (Nov 2007 (Español))

The Northern Hemisphere continues to restore its lost ice at a rapid pace. As of the end of November, [the anomaly is at just 1 million sq. km., 1/3 of October's.](#) (Nov 2007)

With rapid re-freezing of the Arctic Basin in October, [the ice loss anomaly has been cut in half as of 1 Nov. 2007.](#)

The [Antarctic ice sheet continues at a high level](#), but not enough to raise the total ice coverage trend at the global level. (Nov 2007)

The wind caused the Arctic ice loss. [NASA scientist Son Nghiem](#) says "The winds causing this trend in ice reduction were set up by an unusual pattern of atmospheric pressure that began at the beginning of this century," (Oct 2007)

This page updated or reviewed February 2018

Arch McGill

From: Anthony William DeLaVina
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 2:22 PM
To: Colette Chapman;Mia.Garcia@azag.gov;james.simpson@azag.gov
Cc:

n;terri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov
;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielk
a.Atherton@phoenix.gov;azpost@azpost.gov;chesters@azpost.gov;birish@azpost.gov;ll
arson@azpost.gov;rodc@azpost.gov;lmann@azpost.gov; t;karen.hudson
@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicole.chaidez@ph
oenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;
vince_boerbon@tempe.gov ;Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_diet
rich@mcs.o.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;nicole.chaidez@phoenix.gov;jeri.l.willia
ms@phoenix.gov;jeri.williams@phoenix.gov

Subject: Is This A Valid Letter From The Arizona Attorney General Office?

From: Monday, December 10, 2018 2:39 PM
Sent:
To: markp@azpost.gov;Mia.Garcia@azag.gov;james.simpson@azag.gov;Colette Chapman
Cc: terri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;
gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;azpost@azpost.gov;chesters@azpost.gov;birish@azpost.gov;llarson@azpost.gov;rodc@azpost.gov;lmann@azpost.gov; ;karen.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov
Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_dietrich@mcso.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;

Subject: Re: Investigation Into The Investigation Into Why The Home Invasion Was An Ongoing Investigation For 4 Years & Why I Was Left Out of Court Proceedings & Wasn't Granted Victim's Rights Until Now

On Monday, December 10, 2018, 2:14:45 PM MST, > wrote:
Home Invasion at My owner occupied residency at or , 2013
Please Investigate The Officers & Detectives Code of Conduct Regarding Denying Victim's Rights When I Had Full Civil Rights Reinstated By Arizona Judge.

From: Monday, December 10, 2018 2:36 PM
Sent: markp@azpost.gov;Mia.Garcia@azag.gov;james.simpson@azag.gov;Colette Chapman
To: terri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;
Cc: gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;azp
ost@azpost.gov;chesters@azpost.gov;birish@azpost.gov;llarson@azpost.gov;rodc@azp
ost.gov;lmann@azpost.gov; ;karen.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@pho
enix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicoled.chaidez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoen
ix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov;
Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_dietrich@mcso.maricopa.gov;lisa.p
era@phoenix.gov;

Subject: Re: Investigation Into The Investigation Into Why The Home Invasion Was An Ongoing
Investigation For 4 Years & Why I Was Left Out of Court Proceedings & Wasn't Granted
Victim's Rights Until Now

On Monday, December 10, 2018, 2:14:45 PM MST,

a _____ wrote:

Home Invasion at My owner occupied residency at

on 2013

Please Investigate The Officers & Detectives Code of Conduct Regarding Denying Victim's Rights When I Had Full Civil
Rights Reinstated By Anthony Arizona Judge.

From: markp@azpost.gov;Mia.Garcia@azag.gov;james.simpson@azag.gov;Colette Chapman
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 2:15 PM
To: terri.dicino@phoenix.gov;Marchelle.Franklin@phoenix.gov;sean.connolly@phoenix.gov;
Cc: gina.garcia@phoenix.gov;hector.gamez@phoenix.gov;Vielka.Atherton@phoenix.gov;azpost@azpost.gov;chesters@azpost.gov;birish@azpost.gov;llarson@azpost.gov;rodc@azpost.gov;lmann@azpost.gov karen.hudson@phoenix.gov;lisa.pena@phoenix.gov;paula.zaragoza@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov;Sean.Coutts@phoenix.gov;EMcNeill@azdps.gov;steven.woytus@phoenix.gov;vince_boerbon@tempe.gov;cyrhaddad@yahoo.com;Maryam_Coskun@tempe.gov;r_dietrich@mcso.maricopa.gov;lisa.pera@phoenix.gov;nicolette.chaidez@phoenix.gov;
Subject: Investigation Into The Investigation Into Why The Home Invasion Was An Ongoing Investigation For 4 Years & Why I Was Left Out of Court Proceedings & Wasn't Granted Victim's Rights Until Now

Home Invasion at My owner occupied residency at on
2013

Please Investigate The Officers & Detectives Code of Conduct Regarding Denying Victim's Rights When I Had Full Civil Rights Reinstated By Arizona Judge.

From: Suzanne Hays
Sent: Monday, December 10, 2018 1:35 PM
To: dducey@az.gov
Subject: Re: your thought leadership

Hi Doug,

Are you struggling to find ways to emotionally connect with your target audience?

Companies that published 16+ blog posts per month got almost 3.5X more traffic than companies that published 0-4 monthly posts. B2B companies that blogged 11+ posts per month had almost 3X more traffic than those blogging 0-1 posts per month. ([HubSpot](#))

But what is your audience actually reading when they visit your blog?

Curating a hand-picked editorial team for each piece of content is what makes Hippo standout. When content creators task writers to conduct research, you can expect a Google search. Hippo tasks academic researchers (PhDs, fellows, professors) to research and writers or journalists to write. Our academics range from a leading professor from the [Human-Computer Interaction Institute at Carnegie Mellon](#) to a Ph.D. candidate on theology from [KU Leuven](#) (the largest university in Belgium). Another twist is to include research from an unexpected field (e.g.: anthropology in a tech piece) that makes the article standout while your competitors continue to repeat each other.

If you're struggling to hit the right cadence with your blog postings or you're having trouble bringing your content to the next level, [Hippo Thinks](#) can help.

When are you free to talk about how to authentically connect with your readers?

My best,

Suzanne

Suzanne Hays
Content Strategist. [Hippo Thinks](#)

1025 Westwood Blvd Floor 2
Los Angeles, CA 90024

PS Here is a 5-minute [video](#) that describes how we approach thought leadership. Click [here](#) for our work samples and see some of our clients.

Unsubscribe? [Click here.](#)



On Wed, Dec 5, 2018 2:47 PM, Suzanne Hays [wrote:](#)
Hi Doug,

We'd like to talk about working on your thought leadership with you.

[Hippo Thinks](#) creates story-based content that makes your community feel connected to you.

Our goal is to produce and publish bylined articles in major publications that your target community clamors to read.

Our clients own 100% of the story and you can easily review the quality of our work in the following publications: [re/code](#), [FastCompany](#), [VentureBeat](#), [TechCrunch](#), [Forbes](#), [Quartz](#), [Entrepreneur](#).

Of course, we're known for our clients' acclaimed bylines, but did you know that we can help make your company's blog stand out as a destination of its own?

Doug, I hope I've piqued your interest in what thought leadership (or blogs, speech-writing, white paper, ebook) can do for State of Arizona.

Would you be willing to hop on a short call to explore?

Sincerely,

Suzanne

[Suzanne Hays](#)
Content Strategist, [Hippo Thinks](#)

[1025 Westwood Blvd Floor 2](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90024](#)

PS Here is a 5-minute [video](#) that describes how we approach thought leadership. Click [here](#) for our work samples and see some of our clients.

Unsubscribe? [Click here.](#)

